The aim of this study is to examine whether there is a moderating role of openness to experience in the relationship between the Universal-Diverse Orientation (UDO), and the conscientiousness and extraversion of counseling students. The study was conducted with 377 counseling undergraduate students attending a public university in the west of Turkey. Adjective Based Personality Scale and Short Form of the Miville-Guzman Universality-Diversity Scale were used to collect data of the study. The moderating effects of openness to experience in the relationship between conscientiousness and extraversion, and UDO was examined by Baron and Kenny’s (1986) moderating model. Regression analysis procedures based on this moderating model were used. The findings of the study indicated that openness to experience had a moderating role in the relationship between their UDO, and conscientiousness and extraversion. As a result, the findings of the present study highlights that openness to experience has a moderating role in reducing the negative effects of conscientiousness and extraversion on the UDO.
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INTRODUCTION

It is important that the societies gaining more and more pluralism are reflected in the counseling area. In recent years, it has been observed that the effects of pluralism have increased in counseling theories and practices, and multicultural issues such as the attitudes of counselors towards differences and the ability to work with clients from different cultures have been the focus of attention. The necessity of working with diverse clients is based on the acceptance and awareness of the similarities and differences among people (Yeh & Arora, 2003). As Vontress (1988) has also underlined, process counselors are required to accept and respect the cultural diversities for an effective therapeutic relationship along with aligning to the similarities of being a human that clients bring to the counseling process (as cited in Ponterotto, 2008, p.124). Counselors need to be sensitive to the collective perceptions and experiences of the clients as well as to their individual perceptions and experiences (Trusty, Davis & Looby, 2002). In this regard, Universal-Diverse Orientation (UDO) that “reflects the attitudes of awareness and acceptance toward the similarities and differences among people” (Miville et al., 1999, p.291), gains importance. The studies indicate that UDO has a positive correlation with empathy (Miville et al., 2006), ethno cultural empathy (Wang et al., 2003), the self-perceived multicultural counseling competence (Constantine et al., 2001) and a negative correlation with dogmatism and homophobia (Miville et al., 1999). Some interesting findings have also been revealed in the research studies examining how UDO influences counseling process. For instance, a study by Stracuzzi, Mohr and Fuertes (2011) shows that counselors’ UDO is positively associated with their perceptions of gay and bisexual male clients regarding the working alliance, session depth, and session smoothness. In the same vein, another research (Harbin, 2004) reveals a negative correlation between the counselors’ UDO and their countertransference reactions including anger and anxiety toward clients with different racial origins. Based on the research findings above, it can be said that UDO has a crucial role for the therapeutic effectiveness of the counselors and promoting effective relations especially with the diverse clients. Given the role of UDO in therapeutic efficacy, understanding the factors contributing to the UDO of counselors gains more importance.

Another variable that plays an important role in the effectiveness of the counseling process is the personality traits of the counselors. Counselor educators heavily emphasize the role of counselors’ personality traits in the effectiveness of counseling. It is even reported that the personality traits of counselors are more influential than the theories and techniques they use in their relations with the clients (Crews et al., 2005). It is observed that the personality which plays a significant role in the therapeutic efficacy of the counselor is also a factor contributing to the UDO. Some previous studies demonstrate that UDO is positively correlated with openness to experience (Thompson, Brossart, Carlozzi & Miville, 2002; Strauss & Connerley, 2003; Han & Pistole, 2017), agreeableness (Strauss & Connerley, 2003; Han & Pistole, 2017); and healthy attributes of personality (Roberts, Laux, & Burck, 2005). Openness to experience particularly stands out as a strong predictive of UDO (Thompson et al., 2002; Strauss & Connerley, 2003; Han & Pistole, 2017). Openness to experience can be identified as the disposition toward curiosity, cultural sophistication, intelligence flexibility and openness to new feelings and ideas (Chamorro-Premuzic, 2007). Individuals open to experience have a wide range of interests (Rolland, 2002, p. 8), a high level of intellectual interests and aesthetic sensitivity (Chamorro-Premuzic, 2007) and they are not conservative (Kail & Cavanaugh, 2008). Furthermore, the related literature points out that openness to experience is negatively correlated with prejudice (Ekehammar & Akrami, 2003; Flynn, 2005; Ekehammar & Akrami, 2007) and positively correlated with positive attitudes toward immigrants (Gallego & Pardos-Prado, 2013), multicultural counseling competency (Moore-Thomas, 1998), intercultural sensitivity (Aydin & Şahin, 2017) and attitudes toward equal opportunities for immigrants (Ackermann & Ackermann, 2015). All these characteristics of openness to experience refer to the positive attitudes toward diversity;
and clarify that openness is a strong predictor of UDO. In addition, findings from the research conducted by Dinesen, Klemmensen and Norgaard (2016) show that openness to experience contributes positively to the attitudes toward migrants by reducing the influence of factors such as cultural and economic threats on the attitudes toward migrants. This finding also reveals the indirect effects along with direct effects of openness to experience on attitudes toward diversity. Positive effects of openness to experience on the attitudes toward diversity make it more valuable for the counselors. In the counseling process, openness to experience is considered as an essential dimension for the counselors’ ability to deal with the differences such as gender and race (Leong & Bhagwat 2001, p. 258).

As another component of the personality, conscientiousness refers to self-discipline, responsibility, competence, order, leadership (Chamorro-Premuzic, 2007, p.27), being hardworking, ambitious and persevering (McCrae, & Costa, 1987). Among personality dimensions, it is the most related factor with the academic and job performance (McCrae, 2004). Although conscientiousness has positive contributions to the academic and work performances, it cannot be said that it positively affects the attitudes toward diversity. The research on the relationship between the conscientiousness and attitudes toward diversity indicates a positive correlation between conscientiousness and negative attitudes toward the immigrants (Gallego & Pardos-Prado, 2013); and between conscientiousness and the attitudes toward equal opportunities for immigrants (Ackermann & Ackermann, 2015). Moreover, conscientiousness is not considered as a personal trait promoting positive attitudes toward diversity as it is not associated with UDO (Thompson et al., 2002; Strauss & Connerley, 2003) and intercultural sensitivity (Aydın & Şahin, 2017).

Extraversion is the other personality trait that was addressed in the current research. The main characteristics of extravert individuals are warmth, assertiveness, positive emotions, excitement seeking and social dominance (Chamorro-Premuzic, 2007, p.27). When compared to those of open and conscient individuals, the attitudes toward diversity of extraverts are more controversial. As extraversion is not linked to UDO (Thompson et al., 2002, Strauss & Connerley, 2003) and intercultural sensitivity (Aydın & Şahin, 2017), it does not have strong effects on the attitudes to diversity. However, it is remarkable that extraversion is related (Carney, Jost, Gosling & Potter, 2008; Gerber, Huber, Doherty, Dowling & Ha, 2010) to negative attitudes toward immigrants (Gallego & Pardos-Prado, 2013) and conservatism which refers to the acceptance of inequality (Hirsh, Young, Xu & Peterson, 2010).

Among personality traits, openness to experience holds the most consistent and powerful positive relations with the attitudes toward diversity. In contrast to openness to experience, conscientiousness and extraversion are observed to be negatively related with the attitudes toward diversity. Given the strong relationship between the attitudes toward diversity and openness to experience, it is expected that openness functions as a buffer by reducing the negative effects of conscientiousness and extraversion on the UDO. In other words, it is foreseen that openness to experience will play a moderating role for the relationship between the UDO, and conscientiousness and extraversion. The aim of the current study is to examine whether there is a moderating role of openness to experience in the relationship between the UDO, and the conscientiousness and extraversion of counseling students.

**METHOD**

**Participants**

The present study was conducted with 377 counseling undergraduate students attending a public university in the west of Turkey. The students voluntarily participated in the study. 109 of the participants were males (29%) and 268 of them were females (71%), and their ages varied between 18 and 25 (M=20.38, SD= 1.75).
**Measures**

The Miville-Guzman Universality-Diversity Scale - Short Form: The Miville-Guzman Universality-Diversity Scale- Short Form (M-GUDS-S) developed by Fuertes et. al. (2000) was adapted to Turkish by Çivitci (2014). As a Likert-type scale, M-GUDS-S involves 15 items. M-GUDS-S includes three sub-scales called Diversity Contact, Relativistic Appreciation and Comfort with Differences. Exploratory Factor Analysis was performed to specify the construct validity of the Turkish version of M-GUDS-S; and a three-factor construct was found. This three-factor construct was supported by Confirmatory Factor Analysis (CFA). Based on CFA, fit indexes were calculated as RMSEA = .055, GFI = .94, AGFI = .92, CFI = .96, NFI = .93 and NNFI = .95. Criterion-related validity of M-GUDS-S was tested through Multicultural Personality Scale. The scores from both of the scales were correlated significantly and positively. In the reliability study of M-GUDS-S, Cronbach Alpha coefficient was found to be .75 for the total score; test re-test reliability coefficient was also found as .92 for the total score. Cronbach Alpha coefficient obtained in the current study was .78 for the total score.

**Adjective-Based Personality Scale (ABPS):** It was developed by Bacanlı, Ilhan and Aslan (2009) based on the Five Factor Theory. ABPS, a Likert-type scale, consists of 40 items which are antonym pairs of adjectives. Findings of the factor analysis indicated a five-factor structures; which are Extraversion, Agreeableness, Conscientiousness, Emotional Stability and Openness to Experience. Five factors account for 52.63% of the total variance. To test the concurrent validity of the ABPS, Sociotrophy Scale, Reaction to Conflicts Scale, Negative-Positive Emotion Scale and Trait Anxiety Inventory were employed. Significant correlations were found between the factors of the ABPS and these scales. The reliability of ABPS was tested via the analyses of test-retest and Cronbach Alpha coefficient. Reliability coefficients for each sub-scale figured out through test-retest analysis were computed as .85, .86, .71, .85 and .68 for Extroversion, Agreeableness, Conscientiousness, Emotional Stability and Openness to Experience. For those five factors, Cronbach Alpha coefficients were .89, .87, .88, .73 and .80 respectively. Cronbach Alpha coefficients obtained in the current study were .89 for Extraversion .80 for Conscientiousness and .77 for Openness to Experience.

**Data Analysis**

The moderating effects of openness to experience in the relationship between conscientiousness and extraversion, and UDO was examined by Baron and Kenny’s (1986) moderating model. Regression analysis procedures based on this moderating model were used.

**Procedure**

Data collection tools of the study were administered to the participants by the researcher in the classroom. The participants were informed about the purpose of the study and the confidentiality of the data gathered. The participants took part in the study on a voluntarily basis.

**FINDINGS**

Descriptive statistics and bivariate correlations for all variables are reported in Table 1. As shown in Table 1, there are significant correlations between all variables. Additionally, Kurtosis and Skewness values indicate normally distributed data.
Table 1:
Correlation and descriptive statistics of all variables in the study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. UDO</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Conscientiousness</td>
<td>.11*</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Extraversion</td>
<td>.35**</td>
<td>.36**</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Openness</td>
<td>.59**</td>
<td>.35**</td>
<td>.68**</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean | 71.39 | 37.02 | 46.02 | 43.79 |
SD | 7.48 | 6.81 | 8.86 | 6.48 |
Skewness | -.37 | -.98 | -.48 | -.61 |
Kurtosis | .06 | 1.01 | -.02 | .36 |

** p<0.01  * p<0.05  UDO: Universal-Diverse Orientation

To examine the moderating effects of openness to experience on the relationship between conscientiousness and extraversion, and UDO, hierarchical multiple regression procedures suggested by Baron and Kenny (1986) were applied. For predictor variables (conscientiousness and extraversion) z-scores were used to minimize multicollinearity problems between the interaction and the main effects (Frazier, Tix, & Barron, 2004). In the first step of the hierarchical regression model, predictive variables (conscientiousness and extraversion) were added to the regression model; in the second step, potential moderator variable (openness to experience) and in the third step, interaction variable (openness to experience x conscientiousness and extraversion) were also added. Results of analysis for moderating effect of openness to experience on the relationships between conscientiousness and UDO are reported in Table 2.

Table 2:
Hierarchical regression analysis for moderating effect of openness to experience on the relationships between conscientiousness and UDO

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>SEB</th>
<th>β</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>p</th>
<th>R²</th>
<th>ΔR²</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dependent variable: UDO</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Step 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conscientiousness</td>
<td>.81</td>
<td>.38</td>
<td>.11</td>
<td>2.12</td>
<td>.035</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td>4.47</td>
<td>.035</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Step 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conscientiousness</td>
<td>-.82</td>
<td>.33</td>
<td>-.11</td>
<td>-2.47</td>
<td>.014</td>
<td>.36</td>
<td>.35</td>
<td>104.85</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Openness to Experience</td>
<td>4.70</td>
<td>.33</td>
<td>.63</td>
<td>14.24</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Step 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conscientiousness</td>
<td>-.72</td>
<td>.33</td>
<td>-.10</td>
<td>-2.19</td>
<td>.029</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Openness to Experience</td>
<td>4.90</td>
<td>.34</td>
<td>.65</td>
<td>14.57</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>.37</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td>73.20</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UDO X Conscientiousness</td>
<td>.65</td>
<td>.25</td>
<td>.11</td>
<td>2.59</td>
<td>.010</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As shown in Table 2, there was a significant interaction between conscientiousness and openness to experience [β= 0.11, p<.01]. The results indicated that openness to experience had a moderating effect on the relationship between conscientiousness and UDO. To determine the direction of this interaction, procedures drawn by Cohen, Cohen, West, and Aiken (2003) were followed.
As seen in Figure 1, the effect of conscientiousness on the UDO varies according to the openness to experience level of students. As conscientiousness increases, UDO decreases in the students who have low openness to experience. However, UDO is more stable in the students who have high openness to experience.

**Table 3:**
Hierarchical regression analysis for moderating effect of openness to experience on the relationships between extraversion and UDO

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>SEB</th>
<th>β</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>p</th>
<th>R²</th>
<th>ΔR²</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dependent variable: UDO</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Step 1 Extraversion</td>
<td>2.62</td>
<td>.36</td>
<td>.35</td>
<td>7.24</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>.12</td>
<td>.12</td>
<td>52.43</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Step 2 Extraversion</td>
<td>-.72</td>
<td>.42</td>
<td>-.10</td>
<td>-1.69</td>
<td>.092</td>
<td>.35</td>
<td>.23</td>
<td>102.37</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Openness to Experience</td>
<td>4.91</td>
<td>.42</td>
<td>.66</td>
<td>11.57</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>.36</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td>71.55</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Step 3 Extraversion</td>
<td>-.81</td>
<td>.42</td>
<td>-.11</td>
<td>-1.91</td>
<td>.057</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Openness to Experience</td>
<td>5.29</td>
<td>.45</td>
<td>.71</td>
<td>11.86</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>.36</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td>71.55</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UDO X Extraversion</td>
<td>.69</td>
<td>.26</td>
<td>.12</td>
<td>2.60</td>
<td>.010</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

UDO: Universal-Diverse Orientation

As seen in Table 3, there was a significant interaction between extroversion and openness to experience [β = 0.12, p < .01]. The results of hierarchical regression analysis demonstrated that openness to experience had a moderating effect on the relationship between extraversion and UDO.
Figure 2. Openness to experience as a moderator of the relationship between extraversion and universal-diverse orientation

As indicated in Figure 2, as extroversion increases, UDO decreases in the students who have low openness to experience. In contrast, no such decrease was observed in the students who have high openness to experience.

DISCUSSION

The present study investigates the moderating role of openness to experiences in the relationship between the counseling students’ UDO, and conscientiousness and extraversion. The findings of the study indicated that openness to experience had a moderating role in the relation between their UDO, and conscientiousness and extraversion.

Based on the findings, it was found out that openness to experience plays a moderating role in the relationship between UDO and conscientiousness. In other words, as conscientiousness increases, UDO decreases in the students who have low openness to experience. However, UDO is more stable in the students who have high openness to experience. In this case, it can be said that openness to experience acts as a buffer by reducing the negative effects of conscientiousness on UDO. The finding that positive attitudes toward diversity diminish as the level of conscientiousness rises is in line with the related literature. The studies examining the relationship between counseling students’ personality and UDO (Thompson et al., 2002; Strauss & Connerley, 2003) reveal that conscientiousness is not linked to UDO. It is pointed out that persons with high conscientiousness have negative attitudes toward immigrants (Gallego & Pardos-Prado, 2013) and negative attitudes toward equal opportunities for immigrants (Ackermann & Ackermann, 2015). As the level of conscientiousness gets higher, social conformity is observed to get promoted (Sibley & Duckitt, 2008). In this regard, it is not easy to develop attitudes and behaviors toward diversity; independent of social judgments. Furthermore, persons with high conscientiousness value the traditions. The importance they attach to traditionalism can have an impact on the development of conservative attitudes (Mondak, 2010). A great number of studies probing into the relationship between personality and political orientation (Carney et al., 2008, Gerber et al., 2010; Hirsh et al., 2010) put forth that conscientious people are more conservative. It is noteworthy that conscientiousness is positively associated with conservatism characterized by the acceptance of inequality and resistance to change (Hirsh et al., 2010). Conservative and traditionalist characteristics of conscientious people lead to perceive the diversity as a threat for their traditions. On the contrary to the conscientiousness, openness to experience is positively correlated with the nonconventional...
Openness to experience is positively correlated with UDO (Thompson et al., 2002; Strauss & Connerley, 2003), favorable attitudes toward homosexuality (Shackelford & Besser, 2007), intercultural sensitivity (Aydın & Şahin, 2017), positive attitudes toward immigrants (Gallego & Pardos-Prado, 2013) and positive attitudes toward equal opportunities for immigrants (Ackermann & Ackermann, 2015), and negatively correlated with prejudice (Ekehammar & Akrami, 2003; Flynn, 2005). These characteristics of openness can contribute to the reduction of the negative effects of conscientiousness on the attitudes towards diversity. The combination of conscientiousness and openness to experience can be deduced to facilitate the interactions with differences. The findings of the research carried out by Ackermann and Ackermann (2015) support those of the current research. According to the results of the study, highly conscientious individuals’ attitudes toward equal opportunities for immigrants differ depending on the homogenous or heterogeneous environment they live in. That is, living in an environment of different nationality neighbors diminishes the negative effects of conscientiousness on the attitudes toward equal opportunities for immigrants (Ackermann & Ackermann, 2015). These findings on conscientiousness also clarify the moderating effect of openness to experience on the relationship between conscientiousness and UDO. According to these findings, it can be said that negative attitudes of conscientious people toward diversity are not permanent. It is evident that some factors that contribute to the development of more flexible and tolerant attitudes can have an impact on the negative attitudes of individuals with high conscientiousness toward diversity.

Another finding of this study demonstrated that openness to experience has a moderating effect on the relationship between extraversion and UDO. According to this finding, as extraversion increases, UDO decreases in the students who have low openness to experience. In contrast, no such decrease was observed in the students who have high openness to experience. In other words, openness to experience functions as a buffer by reducing the negative effects of extraversion on the UDO. Sociable and relationship-oriented characteristics of extravert individuals (McCrae & Löckenhoff, 2010) seem to facilitate their interaction with differences. Positive emotions and warmth, two of the facets of extraversion, promote the positive attitudes towards diversity as these facets are positively correlated with UDO (Han & Pistole, 2017), and negatively correlated with the prejudice (Ekehammar & Akrami, 2007). On the other hand, assertiveness and activity facets of extraversion are not linked to prejudice (Ekehammar & Akrami, 2007). In addition, it is remarkable that there is a positive correlation between extraversion, and negative attitudes toward immigrants (Gallego & Pardos-Prado, 2013) and conservatism enhancing the negative attitudes toward diversity (Carney et al., 2008; Gerber et al., 2010). When all the aspects of extraversion are evaluated together, it can be concluded that some of its facets facilitate interaction with differences, while some others make it difficult to interact with differences. Openness to experience can be said to act as a buffer to diminish these difficulties. Given the positive and consistent effects of openness to experience on the attitudes toward diversity, it is not surprising that it can decrease the negative effects of extraversion on attitudes toward diversity. The findings of the present study are supported by those of a study conducted by Turner, Dhont, Hewstone, Prestwitch and Vonohekou (2014). According to the findings of that research, increases in cross-group friendship and lowering the levels of intergroup anxiety reduce the negative effects of extraversion on the outgroup attitude.

As a result, the findings of the present study highlights that openness to experience has a moderating role in reducing the negative effects of conscientiousness and extraversion on the UDO. Openness to experience can be said to facilitate more flexible and tolerant attitudes toward diversity. The findings can be regarded as additional evidence to reveal the indirect effects of openness to experience on the attitudes to diversity. The findings can also be said to
have significant results for counselor education. It is considered that raising counseling students’ awareness on the contribution of personality traits to the UDO would be effective in self-exploration and acquiring insights. Their experiences in education process are crucial for creating awareness. Particularly in the counseling supervision process, it is important to include experiences raising their awareness regarding how personal traits affect their relations with diverse clients.

Findings of this study need to be evaluated in terms of some limitations. First, findings are limited to the data obtained through self-report scales. The fact that this research was conducted on counseling students at a public university can be considered as a limitation for the generalizability of the findings. However, future studies on different samplings can contribute to the generalizability of the research findings. Moreover, the present investigation does not deal with the facets (assertiveness, warmth, etc.) of the related personality traits (openness to experience, conscientiousness and extraversion). More comprehensive findings are expected to emerge at future research studies involving the facets of personality traits.
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Onörlen Atıf
**GENİŞLETİLmiş ÖZET**

Giriş: Toplumların giderek daha çokçulcü bir özellik kazanması psikolojik davranışın etkilerine dair bir önem kazanmaktadır. Son yıllarda psikolojik davranış kuram ve uygulamalarında çoğulculuk etkisi etkiye olan danışmanların farklılıklarına yönelik tutumları, farklı kültürlerden gelen danışanlar ile yaşam yeterliliğini nasıl onay konuları ile ilgi olduğu gözlenmektedir. Farklılıklar olan danışnanlar ile yaşam yeterliliğinin temeli insanlar arasında ve psikolojik ve farklılıkların farklı kültürler arasında var olan benzerlik ve farkları arasında var olan benzerlik ve farklılıkların farklı kültürler arasında var olan benzerlik ve farklılıkların farklı kültürler arasında var olan benzerlik ve farklılıkların farklı kültürler arasında var olan benzerlik ve farklılıkların farklı kültürler arasında var olan benzerlik ve farklılıkların farklı kültürler arasında var olan benzerlik ve farklılıkların farklı kültürler arasında var olan benzerlik ve farklılıkların farklı kültürler arasında var olan benzerlik ve farklılıkların farklı kültürler arasında var olan benzerlik ve farklılıkların farklı kültürler arasında var olan benzerlik ve farklılıkların farklı kültürler arasında var olan benzerlik ve farklılıkların farklı kültürler arasında var olan benzerlik ve farklılıkların farklı kültürler arasında var olan benzerlik ve farklılıkların farklı kültürler arasında var olan benzerlik ve farklılıkların farklı kültürler arasında var olan benzerlik ve farklılıkların farklı kültürler arasında var olan benzerlik ve farklılıkların farklı kültürler arasında var olan benzerlik ve farklılıkların farklı kültürler arasında var olan benzerlik ve farklılıkların farklı kültürler arasında var olan benzerlik ve farklılıkların farklı kültürler arasında var olan benzerlik ve farklılıkların farklı kültürler arasında var olan benzerlik ve farklılıkların farklı kültürler arasında var olan benzerlik ve farklılıkların farklı kültürler arasında var olan benzerlik ve farklılıkların farklı kültürler arasında var olan benzerlik ve farklılıkların farklı kültürler arasında var olan benzerlik ve farklılıkların farklı kültürler arasında var olan benzerlik ve farklılıkların farklı kültürler arasında var olan benzerlik ve farklılıkların farklı kültürler arasında var olan benzerlik ve farklılıkların farklı kültürler arasında var olan benzerlik ve farklılıkların farklı kültürler arasında var olan benzerlik ve farklılıkların farklı kültürler arasında var olan benzerlik ve farklılıkların farklı kültürler arasında var olan benzerlik ve farklılıkların farklı kültürler arasında var olan benzerlik ve farklılıkların farklı kültürler arasında var olan benzerlik ve farklılıkların farklı kültürler arasında var olan benzerlik ve farklılıkların farklı kültürler arasında var olan benzerlik ve farklılıkların farklı kültürler arasında var olan benzerlik ve farklılıkların farklı kültürler arasında var olan benzerlik ve farklılıkların farklı kültürler arasında var olan benzerlik ve farklılıkların farklı kültürler arasında var olan benzerlik ve farklılıkların farklı kültürler arasında var olan benzerlik ve farklılıkların farklı kültürler arasında var olan benzerlik ve farklılıkların farklı kültürler arasında var olan benzerlik ve farklılıkların farklı kültürler arasında var olan benzerlik ve farklılıkların farklı kültürler arasında var olan benzerlik ve farklılıkların farklı kültürler arasında var olan benzerlik ve farklılıkların farklı kültürler arasında var olan benzerlik ve farklılıkların farklı kültürler arasında var olan benzerlik ve farklılıkların farklı kültürler arasında var olan benzerlik ve farklılıkların farklı kültürler arasında var olan benzerlik ve farklılıkların farklı kültürler arasında var olan benzerlik ve farklılıkların farklı kültürler arasında var olan benzerlik ve farklılıkların farklı kültürler arasında var olan benzerlik ve farklılıkların farklı kültürler arasında var olan benzerlik ve farklılıkların farklı kültürler arasında var olan benzerlik ve farklılıkların farklı kültürler arasında var olan benzerlik ve farklılıkların farklı kültürler arasında var olan benzerlik ve farklılıkların farklı kültürler arasında var olan benzerlik ve farklılıkların farklı kültürler arasında var olan benzerlik ve farklılıkların farklı kültürler arasında var olan benzerlik ve farklılıkların farklı kültürler arasında var olan benzerlik ve farklılıkların farklı kültürler arasında var olan benzerlik ve farklıдор.

**Bulgular:** Analiz sonucunda elde edilen bulgulara göre sorumluluk ile deneyime açıklık etkileşimi \( \beta = 0.11, p< .01 \) ve dışadönüklük ile deneyime açıklık etkileşimi \( \beta = 0.12, p< .01 \) evrensellik-farklık yönelimini anlamlandırarak yöndenmaktadır. Buna göre, deneyime açıklık, hem sorumluluk ile evrensellik-farklık yönelimi hem de dışadönüklük ile evrensellik-farklık yönelimi arasındaki ilişkide düzenleyici (moderator) bir rol oynamaktadır. Diğer bir deyişle, deneyime açıklık düzeyi düşük olan öğrencilerde, sorumluluk ve dışadönüklük arttıkça evrensellik-farklık yönelimini düzeyi düşmektedir. Ancak, deneyime açıklık düzeyi yüksek olan öğrencilerin evrensellik-farklık yönelimi düzeylerinde böyle bir azalma gözlenmemektedir.